

APPLICATION NO.

10/001,256

Ladas & Parry 26 West 61 Street New York, NY 10023

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

FILING DATE

11/02/2001

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
U 013698-2	8327	
EXAM	IINER	
MCCLENDO	N, SANZA L	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1796		

MAIL DATE **DELIVERY MODE** 11/29/2007 PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

Kazuaki Watanabe

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

11/29/2007

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_	
	10/001,256	WATANABE ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_	
·	Sanza L. McClendon	1796		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		with the correspondence address		
Period for Reply	( )	ALACAITIACO OR THIRTY (CO. RAYO		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value of the provision of 37 CFR 1.13 after to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMU 36(a). In no event, however, ma vill apply and will expire SIX (6) I , cause the application to become	NICATION.  y a reply be timely filed  10NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  2 ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Section 1	eptember 2007.			
,	action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	ex parte Quayle, 1955 (	J.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 4 and 5 is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4 and 5</u> is/are rejected.		·		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.			
o) are easy, each constant area.	•			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		2 2 4 4 0 (2) (3) 2 2 (5)		
<ul><li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li><li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li></ul>	priority under 35 U.S.	2. § 119(a)-(d) or (i).		
a)⊠ All b)□ Some c)□ None of.  1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio				
application from the International Burea				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies	not received.		
Attachment(s)		·		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ew Summary (PTO-413)		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	<del></del>		

Application/Control Number: 10/001,256 Art Unit: 1796

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Please note that the examiner of record has changed. The new examiner is Sanza McClendon.

### Response to Amendment

2. In response to the Amendment received on September 4, 2007, the examiner has carefully considered the amendments. The claim rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, 2nd paragraph for claim 4-5 are rendered moot in view of applicant's remarks and has hereby been withdrawn for consideration.

### Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments, see Remarks/Amendment, filed September 4, 2007, with respect to claims 4-5 have been fully considered and are persuasive in part. The rejection of claim 4 under 35 USC 103 (a) as being unpatentable over JP 11/217525 in view of Sano et al (5,769,930) has been withdrawn, as well as the rejection of claim 5 over JP 11-217525 in view of Sano et al in further view of EP 892024. JP 11-217525 fails to teach non-diene based sulfonyl groups containing (co)polymer and Sano et al and EP 892024 fails to remedy the deficiencies of the primary reference. The rejection of claim 4 under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ota et al has been withdrawn, as well as, the rejection of claim 5 over Ota et a in further view of EP 892024 has been withdrawn. Applicant has successfully invoked 35 USC 103(c) by providing in writing that the instant invention and the reference were commonly owned at the time of invention. The rejection of claims 4-5 under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kurabayashi et al in view of Sano et al has been withdrawn.
- Applicant's arguments filed September 4, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The rejection of claim 4 under 35 USC 102(a) as being anticipated by WO 01/48100 still stands. Applicant appears to be relying on an English language tran slation of their first priority document, which the examiner is assuming is JP 2000-335969 since it is not found in the remarks, to overcome the rejection. However, while applicant's state in the remarks that said document has been sent, it is not found in the application file. Instead a listing of patent number from a USPTO data base search (pp. 1-3) was found at the end of applicant's remark section. Clarification is requested. Since applicant has fails to remark/discuss the differences between WO 01/48100 and the instant invention, the examiner assumes there are none and thus the rejection still stands. Additionally, the rejection of claim 5 under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 01/48100 in view of EP 892024 still stands. It appears applicant relying on the filing date of the 1<sup>st</sup> foreign priority document (see above), however applicant has failed to

Application/Control Number: 10/001,256

Art Unit: 1796

submit an English language translation, as well as, not providing remark/discuss the differences between the WO 01/48100 combination and the instant invention the rejection still stands.

5. The rejection of claim 4 as being unpatentable over Nguyen et al (5,990,202) in view of Sano et al (5,769,930) still stands, as well as the rejection of claim 5 over Nguyen et al in view of Sano et al in further view of EP 892024. Applicant appears to be arguing that the primary reference does not teach that said sulfonyl group-containing (co) polymer is not present in the form of an emulsion. The examiner directs applicant attention to column 22, lines 39-42, which states said primer particles (those reading on applicant's group-containing (co) polymer) can be in the form of a polymer suspension, which is likened to an polymer emulsion, therefore the rejection still stands.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 6. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 7. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by WO 01/48100.
- 8. The discussion of the disclosure of the prior art WO 01/48100 can be found in the office action dated 3/14/2007 is incorporated herein by reference.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 10. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 01/48100 in view of EP 892024.
- 11. The discussion of the disclosure of the prior art WO 01/48100 can be found in the office action dated 3/14/2007 is incorporated herein by reference.
- 12. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nguyen et al (5,992,202) in view of Sano et al (5,769,930).
- 13. The discussion of the disclosure of the prior art Nguyen et al (5,992,202) can be found in the office action dated 3/14/2007 is incorporated herein by reference.
- 14. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nguyen et al (5,992,202) in view of Sano et al in further view of EP 892024.
- 15. The discussion of the disclosure of the prior art Nguyen et al (5,992,202) can be found in the office action dated 3/14/2007 is incorporated herein by reference.

Application/Control Number: 10/001,256
Art Unit: 1796

#### Conclusion

16. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sanza L. McClendon whose telephone number is (571) 272-1074. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on (571) 272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Examiner

Art Unit 1796

SMc